

# CURRENCY COMPLICATIONS.

The absorbing topic of interest in Wall street this week has been the issue of currency, as planned by the Government for the replenishment of the Treasury gold reserve. Except at the meeting of the Chamber of Commerce on Thursday, the Venezuelan boundary dispute has scarcely been mentioned, and by nobody it is now regarded as likely to lead to the terrible consequences which the President's message on the subject was at first interpreted as threatening. The Stock Exchange has recovered its composure, and though the general range of prices is still lower than it was before the recent panic, the pressure to sell has ceased. Only the higher rates of interest for money remain to indicate that there has been a disturbance of values, and these are due more to preparations for taking the new loan than to the demands of operators in stocks.

The reported terms upon which the loan is to be issued have been severely criticised, not only by the enemies but by many of the friends of the Administration, and it must be acknowledged that to the ordinary citizen the selling of \$200,000,000 of bonds at 104, when 114 is bid for similar bonds in small lots, seems a wanton waste of public money. The fact is that the Government has rendered itself helpless in the matter. It asks for \$200,000,000 in gold, and the only men who can furnish that amount have formed a syndicate under the leadership of the ablest financier in the country, and are in a position to dictate terms. The syndicate, indeed, shows great generosity under the circumstances in offering 104 for the bonds. It might just as well insist upon getting them at par, since nobody can outbid it, and the law under which the Administration is acting allows it to accept par. It may be said, that this is a conspiracy against the people which is immoral and unconstitutional, but it is not immoral according to the code of Wall street, and patriotism has nothing to do with business. The remarkable thing is, that persons who will buy the bonds at ten points advance after they are issued, do not ask for them now. They can get legal tenders from their banks if they insist on having them, and with the legal tenders they can draw the gold with which to pay for the bonds. If the banks intimidate them, as it is said they do, into not asserting their rights, and thus prevent competing bids, it is an outrage of which the Comptroller of the Currency ought to take notice.

The blunder which the Administration makes in requiring that the gold paid for the bonds shall not come out of the Treasury. It knows that after this gold goes into the Treasury it will be drawn out again by the redemption of legal tenders, and it might just as well allow them to be redeemed now, as then, especially if by allowing them to be redeemed now it could get 114 for its bonds, instead of 104. By selling, say, \$20,000,000 of them at a time, it would retire that amount of legal tenders, and thus gradually contract both the currency and the basis for bank credit, until the demand for more would be met. The demand for more would be met in the first place by the issue of legal tenders, and it puts the Treasury, as we see, at the mercy of the syndicate.

Another blunder which the Administration makes is in opposing the only measure for increasing revenue which can possibly be carried through Congress. It is too plain for argument that if the legal tender notes are redeemed, and past two years and a half had not been paid for ordinary expenses the contraction caused by retaining them in the Treasury would by this time have made a further bond issue unnecessary. It is claimed that the revenues are already nearly equal to the expenditures, and will soon be in excess of them. The deficiency for the last six months is \$15,000,000, and for this month alone will be \$10,000,000, and there is no reason for hoping that the equality will be restored during this fiscal year at least. An increase of revenue is imperatively demanded, and should be welcomed from whatever source it comes.

As to the underlying question of our currency, the differences of opinion which prevail in regard to it, abundantly prove its complicated character. The President, the Secretary of the Treasury, and a large following of citizens partial to the monopoly by the banks of the issue of legal tender money, insist that the legal tender notes are the cause of trouble, and demand that they be retired and cancelled, even at the expense of issuing for the purpose \$400,000,000 or \$500,000,000 of interest-bearing bonds. The Republican party, almost to a man, and a large number of Democrats, contend that the lack of a permanent revenue to meet the Government's expenditures is the cause of the trouble of the mischief, and disagree only as to proper means for procuring the additional revenue needed. The protectionists give a little further back, and lay all the blame upon the Wilson tariff act. A restoration of the McKinley tariff is, in their opinion, the only cure. The silver men, again, find in the Mint act of 1873, demonetizing silver, the source of the evil, and propose, as a sure cure for it, the opening of the mints to the free coinage of the metal at the ratio of 16 to 1.

The obstacle in the way of an agreement upon a practical measure of relief by the holders of these conflicting opinions is the inability of the indolence of each of them to see anything but his own side of the question. To the party headed by the President the Government legal tender notes are an abomination from which the land ought to be delivered without delay and at any cost. Mr. George Fred Williams of Massachusetts, for example, in his "Mint Reformers," "maranders," and "incubus," and other abusive names, and he exists in the prospect that they will be practically retired and cancelled by the operation of the forthcoming \$200,000,000 loan, in spite of the determination of Congress to keep them in circulation. On the same blind, unseeing hatred of the law is shown by the President, the Secretary of the Treasury, and the Comptroller of the Currency. In their official communications, and by the writers in the newspapers who agree with them. Nor is it to be denied that the preponderance of opinion in financial circles in this city is also an effect of the indolence of the legal tenderers and in favor of supplying their place with bank currency. One and all shut their eyes to the facts that for the fourteen years from Jan. 1, 1870, to Jan. 1, 1883, during which the balance of trade was so largely against this country that we exported \$24,000,000 of legal tender notes, \$20,000,000 of silver dollars and silver certificates, and \$10,000,000 of national bank notes, making a total of \$54,000,000. On Jan. 1, 1883, the volume of legal tender notes, including Treasury notes of 1880, was \$44,000,000, that of silver dollars and silver certificates, \$18,000,000, and that of national bank notes \$17,000,000, making a total of \$79,000,000. When, under the pressure of the panic of 1883 and of the distrust caused by the free silver agitation, our foreign creditors began to call for payment, and our exports of commodities were insufficient to meet their demands, they naturally took gold as the only thing we could give them which was recognized as money on the other side of the ocean, leaving us in undiminished volume our \$121,000,000 of paper and silver, and at the same time increasing the quantity of gold in the country. It is the only permanent relief is one resulting from contraction, and that relief the bond sales hereafter made would have furnished, had not our natural beneficent effect been neutralized

by the use of the redeemed legal tenders for the payment of domestic expenses. There is also a modicum of truth in what the protectionists say of the effect of the change of the tariff last year. That it has tended to increase importation, and thus to increase the amount of gold which our foreign creditors are able to take from us, is clear from the Customs House statistics. Still, to attribute our currency derangement to this cause is like attributing the death of a man shot through the heart, to the breaking of his leg by another shot. The increase of imports under the Wilson tariff is of little account compared to the sums we have been called on to pay during the past three years for stocks and bonds returned to this country for sale by their frightened European holders. The same may be said of the demonetization of silver in 1883. Whatever effect that measure may have produced prior to 1883, it has since that year been completely nullified. It is true it now prevents our paying off our debts abroad at 60 cents on the dollar, but if for the sake of this privilege we are to restore silver to free coinage, we might as well go a little further and repudiate our debts altogether. Cheating a creditor out of half his due is not so bad, indeed, as cheating him out of the whole of them, but it is cheating all the same, and a resort to it cannot be countenanced by any honest man.

The way out of the tangle has yet to be made so plain that the majority of our citizens can recognize it and adopt it. The debates upon free silver coinage have, as we see, at last convinced multitudes that the measure is undesirable, and of the United States Senators who still advocate it one-half, at least, do not represent the opinions of their constituents. A like approach to unanimity in regard to the currency may reasonably be expected, sooner or later, as the result of the discussion now going on, and we ought not to despair because its coming is delayed.

MATTHEW MARSHALL.

## FINANCIAL AND COMMERCIAL.

New York Stock Exchange - Sales and Market Prices of All Securities Dealt in During the Week Ending Jan. 4, 1895.

UNITED STATES AND STATE BONDS (IN \$1,000s).					
Sales.	Name.	Open- ing.	High- est.	Low- est.	Clos- ing.
4000	U S 4s, r. 1907.....	110	110	109 1/2	109 3/4
1000	U S 4s, r. 1925.....	117 1/2	117 1/2	117 1/4	117 1/2
1000	Louis on 4s.....	100	100	100	100
18000	Va F d 2-3s of 1901.....	60 1/2	60 1/2	60	60
5000	Va 6s defd. T R S.....	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/4	5 1/2